UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,676	10/25/2006	Jill McDonald Boyce	PU030282	2230
24498 7590 03/04/2010 Robert D. Shedd, Patent Operations THOMSON Licensing LLC			EXAMINER	
			BHATNAGAR, ANAND P	
P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/575,676	BOYCE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANAND BHATNAGAR	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/20	0/00					
· <u> </u>	/ _					
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7, 11-21</u> is/are pending in the applic	4) Claim(s) 4-7, 11-21 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-7 and 11-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,18,20 and 21</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,16, and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·	r election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 04/11/06 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached office Action of form F10-132.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	and the second s				

Application/Control Number: 10/575,676

Art Unit: 2624

 Applicant's amendment/response filed on 11/20/09 has been entered and made of record. Applicant has canceled claims 1-3 and 8-10. Applicant has added new claims 15-21. Claims 4-7, and 11-14 were previously withdrawn.
 Currently, claims 4-7, and 11-21 are pending.

Page 2

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 11/20/09 have been fully considered and they are persuasive. Applicant in essence argues that the original claims 1-3 and 8-10 have been canceled, therefore, Double patenting does not exist and that new claims 15-21 are not double patenting to the originally applied patent publications, 2007/0117291 and 2007/0058878, because new independent claims 15 and 21 have a feature of "randomly selecting" which is not in the claims of the two applied patent publications. Examiner agrees and withdraws the double patenting rejection. Applicant further argues that the patent publication 2007/0058878 does not teach the feature of random selecting, therefore, the 35USC 102(e) rejection should be withdrawn. Examiner refers to the action below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 3

Claims 15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant claims "randomly selecting" but examiner does not see this in the specifications. Please show where there is random selection taking place based on the criteria that is claimed in the claim limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 15, 16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gomilla et al. (U.S. patent pub. 2007/0058878 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/575,676

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claims 15 and 19: A method for simulating bit accurate film grain in an image block comprising the steps of:

computing the average of the pixel values within the image block (Gomilla; claim 1);

Page 4

randomly selecting, as a function of the average value of the image block, a block of bit accurate film grain from among a pool of previously established blocks of bit accurate film grain (Gomilla; claim 1).

Regarding claim 16:The method according to claim 15 further including the step of blending each pixel in the selected film grain block with a corresponding pixel in the image block (Gomilla; claim 1).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 17, 18, 20, and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANAND BHATNAGAR whose telephone number is (571)272-7416. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30am-4:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,676 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vu Le can be reached on 571-272-7332. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anand Bhatnagar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624 March 1, 2010